

1 DOWNEY BRAND LLP
JAMIE P. DREHER (SBN 209380)
2 jdreher@downeybrand.com
SEAN J. FILIPPINI (SBN 232380)
3 sfilippini@downeybrand.com
621 Capitol Mall, 18th Floor
4 Sacramento, California 95814
Telephone: 916.444.1000
5 Facsimile: 916.444.2100

6 MICHAEL A. SWEET (SBN 184345)
msweet@foxrothschild.com
7 JACK PRAETZELLIS (SBN 267765)
jpraetzellis@foxrothschild.com
8 NOAH THOMAS (SBN 358134)
nthomas@foxrothschild.com
9 FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP
345 California Street, Suite 2200
10 San Francisco, California 94104
Telephone: 415.364.5540
11 Facsimile: 415.391.4436

12 Attorneys for ANVIL POWER, INC.,
ANVIL EQUIPMENT COMPANY LP, ANVIL BUILDERS,
13 ANVIL HOLDINGS, INC., ANVIL GROUP, LLC

14 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16

17 In re:
18 Kingsborough Atlas Tree Surgery, Inc. ,
19 Debtor.

Case No. 25-10088 WJL
Chapter 11
Adversary Proceeding 25-01005

20 **STATUS CONFERENCE STATEMENT**

21 Kingsborough Atlas Tree Surgery, Inc.,
22 Plaintiff,
23 v.

Date: April 16, 2025
Time: 10:30 a.m.
Place: U.S. Bankruptcy Court, Room 220
1300 Clay Street, Oakland, CA 94612
or by Zoom

24 Anvil Power, Inc., a California corporation,
25 Anvil Equipment Company LP, a California
limited partnership, Anvil Builders, a
California corporation, Anvil Holdings, Inc., a
26 California corporation, Anvil Group, LLC, a
California limited liability company,
27 Defendants.
28

4673220.1

STATUS CONFERENCE STATEMENT

1 Defendants Anvil Power, Inc., Anvil Equipment Company LP, Anvil Builders, Anvil
2 Holdings, Inc. and Anvil Group, LLC (collectively, “Defendants” or “Anvil”) submit the
3 following Status Conference Statement:

4 **Background**

5 1. On March 20, 2025, Kingsborough Atlas Tree Surgery, Inc. (“Debtor”) filed an
6 adversary proceeding seeking a Temporary Restraining Order (“TRO”) requiring the turnover of
7 equipment (the “Equipment”). The Court set the TRO for hearing on March 26, 2025. In support
8 of its TRO, presumably to demonstrate urgency in the request, Mr. Richard Kingsborough testified
9 to the following:

- 10 (a) “I believe that Anvil is now attempting to secret and remove KBA Equipment to
11 undisclosed locations despite KBA’s request that such equipment be returned to KBA”;
12 (b) “It is also my understanding that multiple items of Equipment are not being properly
13 maintained and are either now in disrepair or in poor condition as a result of Anvil’s
14 lack of maintenance and repairs”; and
15 (c) “Anvil’s conduct of secreting and removing KBA equipment and failure to maintain
16 said Equipment in good working condition, or negligence in operating such equipment
17 resulting in damage to such equipment has resulted in and will continue to result
18 serious irreparable harm to KBA as such KBA Equipment is in danger of being lost,
19 abandoned, destroyed and/or unavailable to KBA.”

20 Adv. Proc. No. 25-01005 at 3-3 ¶¶ 15, 17, 19.

21 2. Counsel for the parties emailed relating to the Equipment in a single email chain. A
22 copy of the last email in that chain is attached as **Exhibit 1**. The following is a summary of that
23 chain. The email chain shows that Anvil actively sought to coordinate turnover of the Equipment
24 and – notwithstanding Mr. Kingsborough’s testimony – the Debtor has, until very recently, been
25 non-communicative with respect to it.

26 **Email Meet and Confer**

27 3. On March 31, 2025, Anvil wrote to the Debtor and disputed that the Debtor was
28 entitled to possession of the Equipment, but to avoid the cost and expense of a dispute, elected to

1 allow the Debtor to retake it. Anvil explained that the Equipment is located at various facilities
2 and stated that it was available for pickup beginning April 7, 2025. The Debtor did not respond.

3 4. On April 1, 2025, Anvil followed up with the Debtor about the mechanics of the
4 return of the Equipment. Again, the Debtor did not respond.

5 5. On April 2, 2025, Anvil provided a list of Equipment to the Debtor. Anvil further
6 stated that it would deliver the portion of the Equipment located at its Windsor Yard to the
7 Debtor's Santa Rosa facility on April 7, 2025. Anvil also requested the Debtor commit to picking
8 up the remainder Equipment and provide a timeline for the same. Counsel for the parties spoke on
9 April 2, 2025, and Debtor's counsel indicated that Anvil's proposal for the return of the
10 Equipment was likely workable.

11 6. On the evening of April 3, 2025, counsel for the parties spoke and Debtor's counsel
12 stated the Debtor was not able to retrieve the Equipment on the timeframe proposed and he would
13 get back to Anvil about when that would occur.

14 7. On April 7, 2025, Debtor's counsel confirmed the Debtor could receive the
15 Equipment at its Santa Rosa yard starting on April 8, 2025. Debtor's counsel made no reference
16 to the remaining Equipment that Anvil was not delivering to the Debtor.

17 8. On April 11, 2025, Anvil's counsel confirmed to Debtor's counsel that all the
18 Windsor Yard Equipment had been delivered to the Debtor's Santa Rosa yard. Anvil counsel also
19 confirmed that the Debtor had failed to engage or provide direction relating to the remaining
20 Equipment.

21 9. On April 14, 2025, Anvil's counsel wrote, again, asking for the Debtor to engage
22 with respect to the remaining Equipment. Finally, at 10:00 p.m. on April 14 (i.e., 14 hours before
23 this statement was due), the Debtor finally responded concerning the remaining Equipment. Now
24 it took the position that the remaining Equipment should be delivered to the Debtor because the
25 Debtor lacks the resources to move it.

26 **Next Steps**

27 10. It is now weeks after the Debtor sought immediate injunctive relief to obtain the
28 Equipment. And, aside from receiving that which was delivered to it, the Debtor refused to collect

1 the Equipment and failed to communicate with Anvil about the Equipment until the evening of
2 April 14. And then, it simply claimed the remaining Equipment should be brought to it regardless
3 of whether Section 542 is applicable in the first place and whether any portion of the remaining
4 Equipment can be sold, used, leased, or is of inconsequential value to the Estate (because, for
5 example, it has no equity).

6 11. Presumably the Debtor finally responded to Anvil's numerous inquiries on this
7 topic because of this upcoming status conference. Anvil respectfully suggests the following
8 course of action: (a) the Court order the parties continue to meet and confer over the remaining
9 Equipment; (b) the Court order that Anvil not be required to respond to the complaint pending
10 further order; and (c) the Court set a further status conference approximately 30 days out for a
11 further status on the progress of that meet and confer.

12
13 DATED: April 15, 2025

FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP

14
15 By: /s/ Jack Praetzellis

16 JACK PRAETZELLIS

17
18 Attorneys for ANVIL POWER, INC.,
19 ANVIL EQUIPMENT COMPANY LP,
20 ANVIL BUILDERS, ANVIL HOLDINGS, INC.,
21 ANVIL GROUP, LLC
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT 1

From: Jeff Terry <pjterry@cmprlaw.com>
Sent: Monday, April 14, 2025 10:08 PM
To: Filippini, Sean
Cc: Kim Corcoran; Kolkey, Christopher; Mari Coppinger; 'mcfallon@fallonlaw.net'; Praetzellis, Jack C.
Subject: [EXT] RE: Atlas v. Anvil--Return of KBA Equipment

This message was sent securely using Zix®

Thank you Sean:

As mentioned in our brief call last Friday, our client does not have the employees available to retrieve equipment/vehicles that your clients have transported to distant locations. It is our position that your clients should make arrangements to physically return all equipment/vehicles in their possession that belong to Kingsborough Atlas Tree Surgery, Inc., to the same Santa Rosa location they delivered equipment/vehicles last week which they had stored in Windsor.

In the meantime, while we attempt to meet and confer, if your clients could please confirm the physical address of all equipment referenced in the list you provided on April 4, 2025, we would appreciate it.

Lastly, as we also discussed, please let me know whether your client "Anvil Power, Inc. dba Atlas Tree Surgery" will agree to stay any further action related to a foreclosure it initiated in Nevada County pursuant to a deed of trust given to your client in connection with an alleged LOC agreement between your client and Kingsborough Atlas Tree Surgery, Inc., pending a final resolution/adjudication of the claims between our respective clients.

Regards,

Jeff

CMPR

Philip J. Terry
Partner

Carle Mackie Power Ross LLP

100 B Street, Suite 400, Santa Rosa, CA 95401
Tel: 707-526-4200 ext. 139 • Fax: 707-526-4707
pjterry@cmprlaw.com • www.cmprlaw.com

The information contained in this email message may be privileged, confidential, and protected from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this information is strictly prohibited.
If you think that you have received this email message in error, please call or email the sender immediately.

From: Filippini, Sean <sfilippini@DowneyBrand.com>
Sent: Monday, April 14, 2025 4:17 PM
To: Jeff Terry <pjterry@cmprlaw.com>
Cc: Kim Corcoran <kcorcoran@cmprlaw.com>; Kolkey, Christopher <ckolkey@downeybrand.com>; Mari Coppinger

<mcoppinger@cmprlaw.com>; 'mcfallon@fallonlaw.net' <mcfallon@fallonlaw.net>; 'Praetzellis, Jack C.' <jpraetzellis@foxrothschild.com>

Subject: RE: Atlas v. Anvil--Return of KBA Equipment

Hi Jeff-

Following up again.

We are still waiting for KBA to provide engagement and direction regarding the remaining KBA equipment that Anvil previously proposed be picked up on April 7.

Please let us know.

Thanks,

Sean J. Filippini

DOWNEY BRAND

Downey Brand LLP
621 Capitol Mall, 18th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814
916.444.1000 Main
916.520.5430 Direct
916.520.5830 Fax
sfilippini@downeybrand.com
www.downeybrand.com

From: Filippini, Sean

Sent: Friday, April 11, 2025 4:38 PM

To: 'Jeff Terry' <pjterry@cmprlaw.com>

Cc: 'Kim Corcoran' <kcorcoran@cmprlaw.com>; Kolkey, Christopher <ckolkey@DowneyBrand.com>; 'Mari Coppinger' <mcoppinger@cmprlaw.com>; 'mcfallon@fallonlaw.net' <mcfallon@fallonlaw.net>; 'Praetzellis, Jack C.' <jpraetzellis@foxrothschild.com>

Subject: RE: Atlas v. Anvil--Return of KBA Equipment

Jeff-

As I understand it, Anvil has delivered all of the KBA equipment, which was previously located at Anvil's Windsor Yard, to KBA.

However, we still have no engagement or direction from KBA regarding when it will pick up the remainder of the KBA equipment—which is located at other Anvil facilities. This is despite that we notified you on March 31 that the equipment was being turned over and was ready for KBA to pick it up on April 7.

Please let us know when the remaining KBA equipment will be picked up.

Thanks,

Sean J. Filippini

DOWNEY BRAND

Downey Brand LLP
621 Capitol Mall, 18th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814
916.444.1000 Main
916.520.5430 Direct
916.520.5830 Fax
sfilippini@downeybrand.com
www.downeybrand.com

From: Filippini, Sean
Sent: Monday, April 7, 2025 12:27 PM
To: 'Jeff Terry' <pjterry@cmprlaw.com>
Cc: Kim Corcoran <kcorcoran@cmprlaw.com>; Kolkey, Christopher <ckolkey@DowneyBrand.com>; Mari Coppinger <mcoppinger@cmprlaw.com>; 'mcfallon@fallonlaw.net' <mcfallon@fallonlaw.net>; 'Praetzellis, Jack C.' <jpraetzellis@foxrothschild.com>
Subject: RE: Atlas v. Anvil--Return of KBA Equipment

Jeff-

This email confirms that Anvil will begin delivering the KBA equipment, which is presently located at Anvil's Windsor Yard (as identified on the updated spreadsheet I provided on Friday), tomorrow, Wednesday, and potentially Thursday. Anvil will do its best to get all of the KBA equipment (located in Anvil's Windsor Yard) delivered by Thursday. But if that is not possible, we will follow up regarding additional dates.

The other aspect of the return of KBA's equipment is our proposal that KBA pick up all of the other KBA equipment which is presently located at other Anvil facilities (that are identified on the spreadsheet that I previously provided). A week ago, we proposed that KBA pick up all of that other equipment today. But we have yet to hear anything as to whether, let alone when, KBA will come pick up that other equipment.

Please let us know about the other equipment without further delay.

Thanks,

Sean

From: Jeff Terry <pjterry@cmprlaw.com>
Sent: Monday, April 7, 2025 10:33 AM
To: Filippini, Sean <sfilippini@DowneyBrand.com>
Cc: Kim Corcoran <kcorcoran@cmprlaw.com>; Kolkey, Christopher <ckolkey@downeybrand.com>; Mari Coppinger <mcoppinger@cmprlaw.com>; 'mcfallon@fallonlaw.net' <mcfallon@fallonlaw.net>; 'Praetzellis, Jack C.' <jpraetzellis@foxrothschild.com>
Subject: RE: Atlas v. Anvil--Return of KBA Equipment

This message was sent securely using Zix®

Sean:

Per our conversation last Thursday, this will confirm that our client is prepared to receive equipment/vehicles at its Hwy 12 yard located at 6263 Sebastopol Ave, Santa Rosa starting tomorrow, Tuesday, April 8, 2025, at 8:00 am.

Our client is planning on having staffing available to receive equipment and perform some basic in-take until 12:00 pm each day through Thursday, April 10, 2025. If that is not sufficient time for Anvil to return equipment, let's discuss – as our client will need to make additional staffing arrangements.

Please make sure each piece of equipment and or vehicle has keys, current registration documents and any remote control required to operate the equipment.

Thanks,

Jeff

CMPR

Philip J. Terry
Partner

Carle Mackie Power Ross LLP

100 B Street, Suite 400, Santa Rosa, CA 95401

Tel: 707-526-4200 ext. 139 • Fax: 707-526-4707

pjterry@cmpirlaw.com • www.cmpirlaw.com

The information contained in this email message may be privileged, confidential, and protected from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this information is strictly prohibited.

If you think that you have received this email message in error, please call or email the sender immediately.

From: Filippini, Sean <sfilippini@DowneyBrand.com>

Sent: Friday, April 04, 2025 5:04 PM

To: Jeff Terry <pjterry@cmpirlaw.com>

Cc: Kim Corcoran <kcorcoran@cmpirlaw.com>; Kolkey, Christopher <ckolkey@downeybrand.com>; Mari Coppinger <mcoppinger@cmpirlaw.com>; 'mcfallon@fallonlaw.net' <mcfallon@fallonlaw.net>; 'Praetzellis, Jack C.' <jpraetzellis@foxrothschild.com>

Subject: RE: Atlas v. Anvil--Return of KBA Equipment

Jeff and Team-

I have attached a slightly updated list of equipment which has some slight modifications to the equipment list I sent on April 2.

To date, we still have no response to any of my emails proposing the process and timeline for the return of the KBA equipment. Jeff and I spoke last night and Jeff expressed that KBA was not going to be ready to retrieve the equipment on the timeframes we have proposed and that he would need to get back to me as to when it would be ready to receive the equipment that Anvil has proposed to deliver or when KBA would be ready to pick up the equipment that Anvil has proposed be picked up. I have not heard back since.

Anvil's patience has reached in waiting for a response has reached an end. As noted in my below email, a week and a half ago KBA represented to the Court that the equipment needed to be turned over immediately because it was in danger. Yet now that Anvil has voluntarily offered to return it, KBA will not agree to the return. Gamesmanship appears to be the most likely explanation.

Accordingly, please be advised that Anvil intends to begin returning the KBA equipment (located in Anvil's Windsor Yard) to KBA's Santa Rosa (highway 12) facility on Monday, April 7. If the gates on the facility are locked, Anvil will leave the equipment in the lot outside of the facility and/or on the side of the street outside of the facility.

Thanks,

Sean J. Filippini

DOWNEY BRAND

Downey Brand LLP
621 Capitol Mall, 18th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814
916.444.1000 Main
916.520.5430 Direct
916.520.5830 Fax
sfilippini@downeybrand.com
www.downeybrand.com

From: Filippini, Sean

Sent: Thursday, April 3, 2025 3:22 PM

To: 'Jeff Terry' <pjterry@cmprlaw.com>

Cc: 'Kim Corcoran' <kcorcoran@cmprlaw.com>; Kolkey, Christopher <ckolkey@DowneyBrand.com>; 'Mari Coppinger' <mcoppinger@cmprlaw.com>; 'mcfallon@fallonlaw.net' <mcfallon@fallonlaw.net>; 'Praetzellis, Jack C.' <jpraetzellis@foxrothschild.com>

Subject: RE: Atlas v. Anvil--Return of KBA Equipment

Jeff and Team-

Aside from a call yesterday morning with Jeff—where Jeff indicated that Anvil's proposal for the return of KBA's equipment was likely workable—I have yet to hear anything in response to any of my emails regarding the return of the equipment, the first of which was on Monday.

In its motion for turnover order, KBA sought the "immediate" return of the equipment, expressing a belief that the equipment was not being maintained and was being negligently utilized. That being the case, our expectation was that KBA's engagement with us on these issues would be immediate and pro-active. That has not been the case.

Please let us know if we can proceed as outlined below.

Anvil would like to begin delivering the KBA equipment from its Windsor yard immediately.

Additionally, Anvil needs to know immediately whether KBA will be picking up the remaining equipment on April 7, as proposed below.

Please let me know at your earliest convenience.

Thanks,

Sean

From: Filippini, Sean

Sent: Wednesday, April 2, 2025 10:55 AM

To: 'Jeff Terry' <jterry@cmprlaw.com>

Cc: 'Kim Corcoran' <kcrcorcoran@cmprlaw.com>; Kolkey, Christopher <ckolkey@DowneyBrand.com>; 'Mari Coppinger' <mcoppinger@cmprlaw.com>; 'mcfallon@fallonlaw.net' <mcfallon@fallonlaw.net>; 'Praetzellis, Jack C.' <jpraetzellis@foxrothschild.com>

Subject: RE: Atlas v. Anvil--Return of KBA Equipment

Jeff and Team-

I have attached a list of equipment for your review and comment. Please note the following about the attached list:

1. The list mirrors the list the was attached to Rich Kingsborough's declaration in support of the Motion for Possession.
2. A number of items of equipment on the list in Mr. Kingsborough's declaration were not correct. Richard's list identified a number of items of equipment that were never in Anvil's possession. It also listed items of equipment that were purchased by Anvil And it also listed a number of items of equipment that were owned by third parties (such as First Financial).
3. The attached list is largely self-explanatory. The ownership column identifies the entity that Anvil understands to be the owner of the equipment (column K). NOTE: the items highlighted in yellow are actually owned by Anvil (not KBA). The location column identifies the present location of the equipment (column L). In instances where the location column is blank, Anvil most likely does not have those respective items of equipment. That said, Anvil is in the midst of a final review of equipment and will update the list in the event that any of the items (with blank location cells on the list) are located.
4. With respect to the return of the equipment, Anvil intends to deliver all of the equipment that is located at the "Windsor Yard" to KBA at KBA's facility in Santa Rosa (6263 Sebastopol Rd., Santa Rosa, CA). Anvil would like KBA to have its facility open from 6:00am-6:00pm starting tomorrow morning and continuing through Monday, April 7 (including Saturday and Sunday). Please confirm that the facility will be open, at those times, for the return deliveries.
5. With respect to the return of the remainder of the equipment, located at Anvil facilities other than Anvil's Windsor Yard, Anvil will make all such other KBA equipment available to be picked up on Monday, April 7, 2025. Please confirm that KBA will pick up all such equipment on that date.
6. Anvil will revise and re-circulate the list in the event that further information is discovered.

Lastly, as noted in my email from yesterday, please let us know how KBA proposed to proceed regarding equipment that we understand is owned by third parties, particularly First Financial. This is especially so given the recent motion for relief from the stay that was just granted in favor of First Financial.

Please let us know if KBA is agreeable at your earliest convenience so that we can begin planning and so Anvil can begin delivering the equipment presently located in its Windsor Yard.

Thanks,

Sean J. Filippini

DOWNEY BRAND

Downey Brand LLP
621 Capitol Mall, 18th Floor

Sacramento, CA 95814
916.444.1000 Main
916.520.5430 Direct
916.520.5830 Fax
sfilippini@downeybrand.com
www.downeybrand.com

From: Filippini, Sean
Sent: Tuesday, April 1, 2025 3:39 PM
To: 'Jeff Terry' <pjterry@cmprlaw.com>
Cc: 'Kim Corcoran' <kcorcoran@cmprlaw.com>; Kolkey, Christopher <ckolkey@DowneyBrand.com>; 'Mari Coppinger' <mcoppinger@cmprlaw.com>; 'mcfallon@fallonlaw.net' <mcfallon@fallonlaw.net>; 'Praetzellis, Jack C.' <jpraetzellis@foxrothschild.com>
Subject: RE: Atlas v. Anvil--Return of KBA Equipment

Jeff and Team-

Following up regarding the below email.

Anvil is continuing to work on the equipment lists identified below. In the meantime, and before tomorrow's hearing with Judge Lafferty, we were hoping to get your thoughts about the timeline and process we are proposing for the return of the KBA equipment. Additionally, we would like to get your perspective regarding the most efficient way to deal with KBA creditors that have (and will) obtain relief from the stay as it pertains to their respective interests in KBA equipment.

Please let us know.

If a call is easier, I have good availability for the balance of today as well as some limited availability tomorrow morning before the hearing.

Thanks,

Sean J. Filippini

DOWNEYBRAND

Downey Brand LLP
621 Capitol Mall, 18th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814
916.444.1000 Main
916.520.5430 Direct
916.520.5830 Fax
sfilippini@downeybrand.com
www.downeybrand.com

From: Filippini, Sean
Sent: Monday, March 31, 2025 5:22 PM
To: 'Jeff Terry' <pjterry@cmprlaw.com>
Cc: Kim Corcoran <kcorcoran@cmprlaw.com>; Kolkey, Christopher <ckolkey@DowneyBrand.com>; Mari Coppinger

<mcoppinger@cmpmlaw.com>; mcfallon@fallonlaw.net; 'Praetzellis, Jack C.' <jpraetzellis@foxrothschild.com>

Subject: Atlas v. Anvil--Return of KBA Equipment

Jeff-

This email is to coordinate the return of equipment in Anvil's possession, which remains titled in KBA's name, to KBA.

As you know from our prior correspondence, Anvil presently has the legal right to possession of the KBA equipment. The June 5, 2023 Security Agreement that KBA executed in favor of Anvil plainly entitles Anvil to possession of the equipment. More specifically, paragraph 2.5 of the Security Agreement provides that in the event of a KBA default, Anvil "shall have the immediate right to possession of the Collateral [which includes all of the equipment]." And as you also know, Anvil sent letters to KBA on February 5, 2025, declaring KBA in default and outlining all of the reasons for the default. To date, we are not aware of any assertion ever advanced by KBA to refute these facts.

Despite that Anvil clearly has the right to possession of the KBA equipment indefinitely, and despite that KBA has never refuted any of the facts that have triggered Anvil's right to possession, Anvil is nonetheless going to return all of the KBA equipment that is presently in its possession. Anvil is doing so as a show of good faith in order to eliminate issues and narrow the dispute between KBA and Anvil. Anvil is also doing so to avoid the costs associated with further litigating the issue in Bankruptcy Court.

The KBA equipment is presently located at various Anvil facilities. Within the next couple business days, I will provide a document which identifies the specific items of KBA equipment together with the address where each item of equipment is located. Please note that Anvil intends to deliver the KBA equipment, which is presently located at Anvil's Santa Rosa and Windsor facilities, directly to KBA at KBA's Highway 12 yard in Santa Rosa. Anvil intends to begin delivering that equipment this week.

As for the other KBA equipment, Anvil will make that equipment available to be picked up by KBA at the respective Anvil facility where it is presently located (which will be identified on the comprehensive equipment list that Anvil will provide in the coming days). Anvil will make that equipment available for pickup on **Monday, April 7, 2025**. As we noted in our opposition to KBA's motion in the Bankruptcy Court, KBA's equipment list (in its Bankruptcy motion) incorrectly identified items of equipment that are not in Anvil's possession. The equipment list that we will be providing represents the comprehensive list of 100% of the KBA equipment in Anvil's possession.

Lastly, as also noted in our opposition to KBA's motion in the Bankruptcy Court, the KBA equipment has been regularly maintained and most of it is in better condition today than it was when Anvil originally took possession. However, there are a handful of instances where items of KBA equipment was in such a state of disrepair that it did not make business sense for Anvil to repair the respective item of equipment. The list we provide will annotate all such items.

We ask that you please confirm: 1) that KBA will accept delivery of some of the equipment at its Highway 12 facility beginning this week; and 2) that the remaining KBA equipment will be picked up by KBA on April 7.

Thanks,

Sean J. Filippini

DOWNEY BRAND

Downey Brand LLP
621 Capitol Mall, 18th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814
916.444.1000 Main
916.520.5430 Direct
916.520.5830 Fax

sfilippini@downeybrand.com
www.downeybrand.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication from Downey Brand and any accompanying document(s) are confidential and privileged. They are intended for the sole use of the addressee. If you receive this transmission in error, you are advised that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance upon the communication is strictly prohibited. Moreover, any such inadvertent disclosure shall not compromise or waive the attorney-client privilege as to this communication or otherwise. If you have received this communication in error, please contact our IT Department at its Internet address (IT@downeybrand.com), or by telephone at (916)444-1000x5325. Thank you.

This message was secured by Zix®.

This message was secured by Zix®.

1 **PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT**

2 I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business
3 address is 10250 Constellation Boulevard, Suite 900, Los Angeles, CA 90067.

4 A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled: STATUS CONFERENCE STATEMENT will
5 be served or was served **(a)** on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d);
and **(b)** in the manner stated below:

6 **1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF):** Pursuant
7 to controlling General Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and
8 hyperlink to the document. On 4/15/25, I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary
proceeding and determined that the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF
transmission at the email addresses stated below:

- 9
- Jamie P. Dreher jdreher@downeybrand.com
 - Michael C. Fallon fallonmc@fallonlaw.net, manders@fallonlaw.net
 - Michael C. Fallon mcfallon@fallonlaw.net, manders@fallonlaw.net
 - Philip J. Terry pjterry@cmprlaw.com, dhayes@cmprlaw.com
- 10
11

12 **2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL:** On N/A I served the following persons and/or entities at
13 the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy
thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows.
14 *Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge will be completed no later than 24
15 hours after the document is filed.*

16 **3. SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**
17 **OR EMAIL** (state method for each person or entity served): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling
18 LBR, on N/A, I served the following persons and/or entities by personal delivery, overnight mail service, or
(for those who consented in writing to such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as
19 follows. *Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that personal delivery on, or overnight mail to, the
judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.*

20
21 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and
22 correct.

23 April 15, 2025

Kimberly Hoang

/s/ Kimberly Hoang

24
25
26
27
28
Date

Printed Name

Signature